



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,522	03/01/2002	Ulrich Haueter	14347	4086	
25763	25763 7590 04/19/2004			EXAMINER	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET			NASSER, ROBERT L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1498			3736	.3	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		TR.			
÷	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/087,522	HAUETER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert L. Nasser	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	03 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority document: 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document: 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 10/087,522

Art Unit: 3736

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8, 9, and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Skrabal. In figure 16, for example, Skrabal shows a device including an access structure, needle 2, connected to a measuring device that houses a removable and replaceable sensor 10, where the body fluid to be analyzed is supplied to the sensor 10 through the needle to an outlet of the needle connected to an inlet of the body, and insulin is supplied from an outlet of the body into an inlet of the needle and back into the human body. As such, the device is an infusion set. In addition, the record has no definition of what constitutes a dialysis probe. It is the examiner's position that since Skrabal meets all the claimed structure, it too is a dialysis probe.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skrabal in view of Say. Say shows the same type of device as Skrabal, where there is a check valve 44 for preventing flow of fluid from the sensor



Art Unit: 3736

back to the needle. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Skrabal to include such a valve, so as to control the fluid flow and maintain accurate readings.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Pfeiffer shows a micro-dialysis probe similar to the present invention.

Mostl shows another similar probe to the present invention.

Krivitiski et al shows a device that has a sensor on the outlet of a dialysis system.

Gowda shows an access device with a removable sensor attached thereto.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is (703) 308-3251. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, variable hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (703) 308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert L. Nasser Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

RLN April 19, 2004

ROBERT L. NASSER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Robert & Massy